

# Agenda

## Cabinet Petitions Committee

Wednesday, 4 October 2023 at 5.00 pm  
In Annexe 1 - Sandwell Council House, Oldbury

1 **Apologies for Absence**

To receive any apologies for absence.

2 **Declarations of Interest**

Members to declare any interests in matters to be discussed at the meeting.

3 **Minutes**

5 - 10

To confirm the minutes of the meeting held on 6 September 2023 as a correct record.

4 **Progress Report**

11 - 18

To provide details of petitions received and the proposed course of action.



**Shokat Lal**  
**Chief Executive**  
Sandwell Council House  
Freeth Street  
Oldbury  
West Midlands

**Distribution**  
Councillor Millard (Chair)  
Councillors Hackett, Padda and Rollins.

Contact: [democratic\\_services@sandwell.gov.uk](mailto:democratic_services@sandwell.gov.uk)

## Information about meetings in Sandwell



If you are attending the meeting and require assistance to access the venue, please contact Democratic Services ([democratic\\_services@sandwell.gov.uk](mailto:democratic_services@sandwell.gov.uk)).



If the fire alarm sounds, please follow the instructions of the officers present and leave the building by the nearest exit.



Only people invited to speak at a meeting may do so. Everyone at the meeting is expected to be respectful and listen to the discussion.



Agendas with reports with exempt information should be treated as private and confidential. It is your responsibility to ensure that any such reports are kept secure. After the meeting confidential papers should be disposed of in a secure way.



This meeting may be recorded and broadcast on the Internet. If this is the case, it will be confirmed at the meeting and further information will be provided.



You are allowed to use devices for the purposes of recording or reporting during the public session of the meeting. When using your devices they must not disrupt the meeting – please ensure they are set to silent.



Members who cannot attend the meeting should submit apologies by contacting Democratic Services ([democratic\\_services@sandwell.gov.uk](mailto:democratic_services@sandwell.gov.uk))



All agenda, reports, minutes for Sandwell Council's meetings, councillor details and more are available from our [website](#)



## Minutes of Cabinet Petitions Committee

**Wednesday 6 September 2023 at 5:30pm  
at Sandwell Council House, Oldbury**

**Present:** Councillor Hackett (Chair);  
Councillors Khatun and Padda.

**Observing:** Councillors Kalebe-Nyamongo and Williams.

**In attendance:** Robin Weare (Assistant Director - Highways); Alex Oxley (Capital Projects Manager); Trisha Newton (Deputy Democratic Services Manager) and John Swann (Democratic Services Officer).

17/23      **Apologies**

An apology for absence was received from Councillor Millard.

18/23      **Declaration of Interest**

There were no declarations of interest.

19/23      **Minutes**

**Resolved** that the minutes of the meeting held on 26 July 2023 be received as a correct record.

20/23

## **Progress Report**

Details were submitted of petitions received and of the action taken or proposed in each case, as detailed in the Appendix.

**Agreed** that the action taken or proposed, as detailed in the second column of the Appendix, be approved.

Meeting ended at 6.39pm

## Appendix 1

Petition Received From	Action Taken/Proposed
<p>1. Residents requesting the protection of the Albright Mural located at Langley Baths.</p>	<p>Following representations from petitioners, officers were asked to explore options to safeguard the Albright Mural and residents also undertook to follow up leads they had around moving the mosaic in the limited timescale available. An update would be submitted to a future meeting.</p>
<p>2. Residents in and around Gospel Oak Road, Tipton, requesting the creation of a car park on Gospel Oak Road.</p>	<p>This matter was being investigated by officers and an update would be submitted to a future meeting.</p>
<p>3. Residents in and around Europa Close, West Bromwich, concerning the proposed cycle lane.</p>	<p>Following representations made by the petitioners in relation to their concerns around the consultation process and the cycle route proposals, officers had submitted a report to the Economy, Skills, Transport and Environment Scrutiny Board. An update would be submitted to a future meeting.</p>
<p>4. Residents in and around Wellington Road requesting the easing of traffic problems.</p>	<p>Petitioners had raised concerns regarding parking problems in the area. A request to utilise a nearby pot of land in the area to ease parking had been made. An update will be submitted to a future meeting.</p>
<p>5. Residents of Thomas Cox Wharf and Alexandra Grange, Tipton, requesting adoption of roads.</p>	<p>The responsibility to progress the adoption of any new roads on a development fell solely on the land owner (typically the Developer) who must initially offer any new roads to the Authority for adoption and, importantly, enter into a legal adoption agreement with the Local Highway Authority (typically an agreement under Section 38 of the Highway Act). The Council had no powers to force a developer to enter into any adoption agreement.</p>

	<p>In this instance, the Developer had given the Authority every impression that it was going to enter into the necessary Section 38 agreement for adoption on numerous occasions and took discussions well beyond the cut off time allowable to undertake enforcement under Section 220. However, the Developer did not complete the necessary Section 38 agreements for the adoption of the roads, or complete the necessary process. Consequently, the roads on these sites were retained as private roads. Following representations by Shaun Bailey MP in support of the residents proposing that a collaborative approach would be the way forward, the Committee requested that officers undertake further investigation into the matter considering all options available and respond to all of the issues raised in the correspondence that had been submitted over a period of time. An update would be submitted to a future meeting.</p>
<p>6. Residents of Park Lane West, Tipton, requesting residents parking outside nos. 173-184.</p>	<p>This matter was being investigated by officers and an update would be submitted to a future meeting.</p>
<p>7. Residents of Yew Tree and Charlemont Estates concerning the withdrawal of the no. 45 bus service.</p>	<p>Transport for West Midlands had advised that it was now funding the 45 bus service which would continue to be operated by Diamond Bus and remained unchanged. However, the Committee understood that this proposal was for a six month period and therefore requested clarification be sought from TfWM as to future provision. An update would be submitted to a future meeting.</p>



<p>8. Residents of Dartmouth Street. West Bromwich, requesting a parking permit scheme.</p>	<p>Following further discussions with petitioners, it was agreed that the Council's Highways department would begin work to consider the introduction of a resident parking scheme for Dartmouth Street. Time limits in relation to free parking on the street would also be reviewed. An update would be provided to a future meeting.</p>
<p>9. Residents in and around Wolverhampton Road regarding concerns around road safety.</p>	<p>A study and outline business case was being developed for the corridor along the length of the A4123 by Transport for West Midlands. The study would consider improvements relating to road safety, walking, cycling and bus movement along the route and would be implemented in phases over a number of years. The junction of the Wolverhampton Road and Queensway would be considered as part of the route improvement scheme. There was capital funding available each year for the implementation of road safety improvements. These road safety improvement schemes were identified through casualty analysis, which was undertaken each year for the whole of the borough. The locations where treatable injury collisions were occurring, which had resulted in the most casualties, were prioritised for road safety intervention. The junction of Wolverhampton Road and Queensway was placed sixth on the list of priorities and had not met the criteria for major funding during this financial year. However, the area would be considered for a road safety scheme to enhance the signage and painted lines along the Wolverhampton Road on the approaches to the junction with Queensway to offer additional advance warning to motorists.</p>

	Options for blocking the junction on account of safety along Wolverhampton Road would also be considered.
10. Residents in support of Warley Baptist Church, Old Warley, requesting double yellow lines on Castle Road East, Oldbury.	Officers had made site visits and there were no issues with parking that had been identified. Observations were made of four traffic cones having been placed on the two entrances to the Church, thus trying to prevent vehicles parking. This in turn displaced vehicles onto the opposite side of the road with some vehicles parking partly on the footway. Officers' vehicles were parked on the bend approaching the Church from Wolverhampton Road and buses had no difficulty in passing the vehicle. The petition stated that people often only parked for short periods, to drop off or pick up passengers and double yellow lines (no waiting at any time) would not prevent people from loading or unloading passengers so the restriction would not have any direct impact on those who park for this reason, which are the majority of the visitors to the Church itself. It was approved that the petition be closed. The head petitioner had been informed.

Contact: [democratic\\_services@sandwell.gov.uk](mailto:democratic_services@sandwell.gov.uk)

## Report to Cabinet Petitions Committee

4 October 2023

<b>Subject:</b>	Petitions Progress Report
<b>Contact Officers:</b>	Johane Gandiwa <a href="mailto:Johane_Gandiwa@sandwell.gov.uk">Johane_Gandiwa@sandwell.gov.uk</a>  John Swann <a href="mailto:John_Swann@sandwell.gov.uk">John_Swann@sandwell.gov.uk</a>







### 1 Recommendations

That the Cabinet Petitions Committee approve the action taken or proposed as detailed in the third column below.

### 2 Reasons for Recommendations

To receive petitions and approve action taken/proposed in response.

### 3 How does this deliver objectives of the Corporate Plan?

		Petitions are one of the many ways in which people who live and work in the Borough can influence the decision-making process. Many of the issues typically raised by petitions underpin the Council's Vision. Petitions alert members and officers to current local issues and ensure that services are being targeted appropriately in delivering the Council's priorities.
		
		



## 4 Context and Key Issues

Section 46 of the Localism Act 2011 removes the requirements for principal local authorities in England and Wales to make, publish and comply with a scheme for the handling of petitions made to the authority, with effect from 1 April 2012. At its meeting on 22 May 2012, the Council decided to retain a petitions scheme, although there was no longer a statutory requirement to have such a scheme.

## 5 Background Details

### 5.1 Petitions received since last reporting period

Signatories	Subject	Action Taken/Proposed
5.1.1 37 – Residents of Oldacre Road, Old Warley (Old Warley)	Request for parking restrictions for non-residents during school pick- up/ drop- off times	This matter is being investigated by officers and an update will be submitted to a future meeting. (Received 8 September 2023)
5.1.2 29 – Residents in and around Hancox Street Oldbury (Bristnall)	Request for double yellow lines for part of Hancox street, Oldbury	This matter will be considered during the next parking review of this area. The introduction of parking restrictions follows a statutory process that requires a traffic regulation order (TRO) to be made. It is normal practice to review each area of the borough in turn and include approximately 30 locations when making a new TRO. The advertising stage of the TRO process does allow members of the public to view plans and object. Should objections be received that cannot



be resolved, the matter will be put to the Cabinet Member for Environment and Highways for a decision.

(Received 6 September 2023)

## 5.2 Progress on outstanding petitions

Signatories	Subject	Action Taken/Proposed
5.2.1 1109 – Residents of Sandwell	Request to protect the Albright Mosaic Mural located at Langley Baths	Following representations from petitioners, officers were asked to explore options to safeguard the Albright Mural and residents also undertook to follow up leads they had around moving the mosaic in the limited timescale available. The Council had been quoted a sum of £56,300 to remove the mosaic in a ‘non- sensitive manner’ with the costs for transportation, repair and installation estimated to cost a further considerable amount. Reservations had been noted that the estimated cost to the Council was considerable and that the mural had no permanent identified home and no funding with which to complete the work. The Committee was requested to indicate the next steps. (Received 24 August 23)
5.2.2 80 – Residents in and around Gospel Oak	Request for the creation of a car park on Gospel Oak Road	This matter was being investigated by officers and an update would be submitted to a future meeting.



	Road, Tipton (Princes End)		(Received 20 July 2023)
5.2.3	146 - Residents in and around Europa Close, West Bromwich (West Bromwich Central)	Concerns with the proposed cycle route between Europa Avenue and Sandwell Valley	Following representations made by the petitioners in relation to their concerns around the consultation process and the cycle route proposals, officers had submitted a report to Economy, Skills, Transport and Environment Scrutiny Board (ESTE). A further meeting of the Economy, Skills, Transport and Environment Scrutiny Board would take place in September 2023. An update will be submitted to a future meeting. (Received 1/11/2022)
5.2.4	175 – Residents in and around Wellington Road, Tipton (Tipton Green)	Request for the easing of traffic problems on Wellington Road, Tipton	Petitioners raised concerns regarding parking problems in the area. A request to utilise a nearby pot of land in the area to ease parking was made. An update will be submitted to a future meeting. (Received 14/02/2023)
5.2.5	138 – Residents of Thomas Cox Wharf and Alexandra Grange, Tipton (Great Bridge)	Request for the adoption of roads by Sandwell Council.	The responsibility to progress the adoption of any new roads on a development falls solely on the land owner (typically the Developer) who must initially offer any new roads to the Authority for adoption and, importantly, then enter into a legal adoption agreement with the Local Highway Authority (typically an agreement under Section 38 of the Highway Act). The Council has no powers to force a



developer to enter into any adoption agreement. In this instance, the Developer gave the Authority every impression that they were going to enter into the necessary Section 38 agreement for adoption on numerous occasions and took discussions well beyond the cut off time allowable to undertake enforcement under Section 220. However, the Developer did not complete the necessary Section 38 agreements for the adoption of the roads, or complete the necessary process. Consequently, the roads on these sites were retained as private roads. Shaun Bailey MP, in support of residents, proposed a collaborative approach. Officers are undertaking further investigation into the matter and will respond to all of the issues raised. An update would be submitted to a future meeting. (Received 21/04/2023)

- |       |   |  |   |
|-------|---|--|---|
| 5.2.6 | 16 – Residents of Park Lane West, Tipton (Tipton Green)                                       | Request for resident only parking spaces outside of 173-184 Park Lane West.                                | This matter is being investigated by officers and an update will be submitted to a future meeting. (Received 12/03/2023)  |
| 5.2.7 | 211 – Against the withdrawal of the No. 45 bus service, Yew Tree and Charlemont Estates, West | Petition against the withdrawal of the No. 45 bus service, Yew Tree and Charlemont Estates, West Bromwich. | TfWM is now funding the 45 bus service which will continue to be operated by Diamond Bus and remains unchanged. TfWM have confirmed that the operation of the route will be continuing and that |



	Bromwich (Charlemont with Grove Vale)		further details will be available in due course. (Received 28/03/2023)
5.2.8	46 – Residents of Dartmouth Street, West Bromwich (West Bromwich Central)	Request for Parking Permit Scheme on Dartmouth Street, West Bromwich.	Following further discussions with petitioners, it was agreed that the Council’s Highways department would begin work to consider the introduction of a resident parking scheme for Dartmouth Street. Time limits in relation to free parking on the street would also be reviewed. An update would be provided to a future meeting. (Received 20/06/2023)
5.2.9	97 – residents in and around Wolverhampton Road, Oldbury (Old Warley)	Concerns regarding road safety on the junction of Wolverhampton Road/Queensway	A study and outline business case is currently being developed for the corridor along the length of the A4123 by Transport for West Midlands. The study will consider improvements relating to road safety, walking, cycling and bus movement along the route and will be implemented in phases over a number of years. The junction of the Wolverhampton Road and Queensway will be considered as part of this route improvement scheme.

There is some capital funding available each year for the implementation of road safety improvements. These road safety improvement schemes are identified through casualty analysis, which is undertaken each year for the whole of the borough. The locations where





treatable injury collisions are occurring, which have resulted in the most casualties, are prioritised for road safety intervention. The junction of Wolverhampton Road and Queensway currently sits 6th on the list of priorities and has not met the criteria for major funding during this financial year. However, the area will be considered for a road safety scheme to enhance the signage along the Wolverhampton Road on the approaches to the junction with Queensway to offer additional warning to motorists. Options for blocking the junction on account of safety along Wolverhampton Road would also be considered. The head petitioner has been informed (Received 31/01/2023)

## 6 Source Documents

Copies of petitions from various groups of residents (exempt information).



This page is intentionally left blank